



Name:

Division:	* Flint	Holden	Richmond	Hermitage	Harrisburg	Millersburg	Chamois	FOML @ Elm	New Florence - S	New Florence - P	Mt Sterling	Show-Me State Games	"Free" FOML@Elm	California	MDC Lake City	Steelville	Richmond	Nevada	Lexington	Holden	FOML @ Elm	Huntsville	Number of Shoots shot in 2014	Lowest Top (5 / 7) Score	Top (5 / 7) Scores Average	Top Score - Tiebreaker	Overall Avg % shot in 2014	
1 Bisges, Donna	F		88.0%		95.2%	95.2%	81.0%	85.7%	85.7%	100%	81.0%	90.9%	95.2%	66.7%	78.3%	90.5%		95.2%	95.2%		76.2%	72.7%	17	90.9%	95.2999%	100%	86.6326%	
2 Anderson, Stephenie	F											42.9%	71.4%	76.2%	56.5%		80.0%	81.0%	100%	90.5%	90.5%	77.3%	10	76.2%	85.0526%	100%	76.6175%	
3 Pennock, Susie	F	*	86.4%		71.4%	52.4%	52.4%	76.2%		95.2%	52.4%			61.9%		85.7%			100%	57.1%			11	61.9%	82.4057%	100%	71.9205%	
4 Preston, Atonka	F	*	72.7%	56.0%	61.9%	81.0%						63.6%	66.7%	42.9%	43.5%				95.2%	38.1%	66.7%		11	61.9%	72.5417%	95.2381%	62.5657%	
5 Wessing, Angie	F		68.2%	60.0%	42.9%			81.0%					52.4%						95.2%	52.4%	76.2%		8	52.4%	69.3321%	95.2381%	66.0227%	
6 Donze, Coco	F			56.0%	52.4%				95.2%				85.7%										4					72.3333%
1 Smith, Connie	FS					85.7%	95.2%	66.7%	95.2%	85.7%	87.0%	81.0%	72.7%										63.6%	10	72.7%	86.0773%	95.2381%	79.9511%
2 Preston, Joy	FS		86.4%		57.1%				76.2%				76.2%	42.9%				90.5%	100%	81.0%	76.2%		9	76.2%	83.7662%	100%	76.2626%	
3 Turner, Marilyn	FS		86.4%		66.7%		81.0%			71.4%	100%	61.9%	63.6%	66.7%	47.6%	56.5%	76.2%	60.0%	85.7%	57.1%	76.2%	59.1%	16	71.4%	82.4057%	100%	69.7556%	
4 Dale, Mary	FS	*	68.2%	52.0%	66.7%	61.9%	66.7%		71.4%				81.0%	33.3%	52.2%	38.1%	44.0%	76.2%	81.0%	61.9%	71.4%		15	66.7%	73.6858%	80.9524%	61.7253%	
5 Yeubanks, Linda	FS		72.7%			71.4%		61.9%						42.9%									4					62.2294%
1 Johnson, Joshua	JrA				100%		90.9%			62.5%	75.0%		100%	40.0%									6	62.5%	85.6818%	100%	78.0682%	
2 Schroer, Hunter	JrA		66.7%		80.0%		90.9%			62.5%	66.7%		100%	80.0%									7	66.7%	83.5152%	100%	78.1061%	
3 Maas, Katlyn	JrA		80.0%		100%									70.0%				76.2%		90.0%			5	70.0%	83.2381%	100%	83.2381%	
4 Vore, Tevin	JrA						54.5%	16.7%		62.5%	42.9%	10.0%										20.0%	6	16.7%	39.3139%	62.5000%	34.4282%	
5 Pennock, Tatum	JrA															60.0%				100%	100%		3				86.6667%	
6 Siron, Sam	JrA		66.7%																				1				66.6667%	
7 Phillips, Madeline	JrA								0.0%														1				0.0000%	
1 Maas, Samuel	JrB		100%		100%														73.3%		100%	80.0%	5	73.3%	90.6667%	100%	90.6667%	
2 Earleywine, Kohen	JrB		100%	90.9%	90.0%		63.6%		70.0%				80.0%	30.0%				66.7%	80.0%				9	80.0%	88.1818%	100%	74.5791%	
3 Vore, Tyler	JrB						27.3%	63.6%		37.5%	58.3%	20.0%										10.0%	6	20.0%	41.3485%	63.6364%	36.1237%	
4 Earleywine, Reese	JrB		100%						90.0%				80.0%	70.0%									4				85.0000%	
5 Whiteside, Britton	JrB																				50.0%		1				50.0000%	
1 Bisges, Neal	M			84.0%	66.7%	85.7%	95.2%	90.5%	85.7%	100%	100%	76.2%	77.3%	100%	85.7%	69.6%	85.7%	80.0%	90.5%	100%	95.2%	76.2%	86.4%	20	90.5%	97.2789%	100%	86.5267%
2 Wood, Park A	M		90.9%	80.0%	81.0%	81.0%	95.2%	81.0%	95.2%	76.2%	100%	76.2%	81.8%	95.2%	76.2%	78.3%	95.2%	84.0%	100%	95.2%	85.7%	90.5%	63.6%	21	95.2%	96.5986%	100%	85.8302%
3 Whiteside, Les	M	*	100%						95.2%				81.0%		91.3%	88.0%	100%	100%	100%	90.5%	95.2%	81.8%	10	90.5%	96.0367%	100%	92.3027%	
4 Sumpster, Dwayne	M	*	90.9%						95.2%				95.2%		82.6%		84.0%	100%	100%	90.5%			8	84.0%	93.6945%	100%	92.3088%	
5 Boone, Bob	M		95.5%		76.2%		90.5%						95.2%	76.2%	78.3%		88.0%	90.5%	100%	90.5%			10	88.0%	92.8745%	100%	88.0763%	
6 Jacks, Bill	M		95.5%		81.0%				38.1%				95.2%	76.2%	82.6%			100%	95.2%	81.0%	95.2%		10	81.0%	92.1043%	100%	83.9968%	
7 Brummett, John	M	*			81.0%	81.0%		85.7%				81.0%	72.7%	95.2%	61.9%	73.9%	85.7%	88.0%	85.7%	100%	66.7%	95.2%	14	85.7%	90.8027%	100%	82.4063%	
8 Adkins, Stan	M		90.9%		85.7%				95.2%					81.0%	65.2%		80.0%	85.7%	100%	76.2%	95.2%		10	81.0%	90.5380%	100%	85.5174%	
9 Brazzell, Tim	M	*	86.4%		71.4%	71.4%	61.9%		90.5%				90.5%	47.6%	78.3%			81.0%	95.2%	76.2%	90.5%		12	78.3%	87.4634%	95.2381%	78.4012%	
10 Pennock, Rob	M		77.3%		76.2%	47.6%	85.7%	95.2%		85.7%		71.4%		52.4%		57.1%			100%	61.9%			11	71.4%	84.5083%	100%	73.6915%	
11 Anderson, Scott	M											81.0%	66.7%				80.0%	85.7%	100%	81.0%	95.2%	68.2%	8	68.2%	84.4341%	100%	82.2132%	
12 Stong, Dusty	M		77.3%		61.9%				90.5%				81.0%					95.2%	95.2%		81.0%		7	61.9%	83.1478%	95.2381%	83.1478%	
13 Schneider, Wayne	M						90.5%	76.2%		76.2%	100%	76.2%			57.1%		85.7%						7	57.1%	80.2721%	100%	80.2721%	
14 Battson, Kevin	M	*			85.7%		100%						100%	81.0%						100%		77.3%	6				90.6566%	
15 Miller, Richard S	M					71.4%	85.7%	71.4%						52.4%		71.4%							5				70.4762%	
16 Bledsoe, Terry	M		95.5%		61.9%								85.7%					95.2%					4				84.5779%	
17 Gerit, Steve	M	*			47.6%		81.0%													95.2%		31.8%	4				63.9069%	
18 Williams, Tony	M												61.9%		52.2%								2				57.0393%	
19 Bordner, Nick	M		86.4%																				1				86.3636%	



	Name:	Division:	*-Flint	Holden	Richmond	Hermitage	Harrisburg	Millersburg	Chamois	FOML @ Elm	New Florence - S	New Florence - P	Mt Sterling	Show-Me State Games	"Free" FOML@Elm	California	MDC Lake City	Steelville	Richmond	Nevada	Lexington	Holden	FOML @ Elm	Huntsville	Number of Shoots shot in 2014	Lowest Top (5 / 7) Score	Top (5 / 7) Scores Average	Top Score - Tiebreaker	Overall Avg % shot in 2014
20	Brisco, Mike	M																							0				
21	Dominique, Joe	M																							0				
1	Horton, Red	MS		95.5%	88.0%	90.5%	85.7%	100%		95.2%				100%	90.5%	85.7%	78.3%	85.7%	84.0%	90.5%	95.2%	90.5%	100%		16	90.5%	96.6296%	100%	90.9525%
2	Fitzpatrick, Rick	MS					90.5%	90.5%	81.0%		85.7%	100%	71.4%			90.5%						95.2%		95.5%	9	85.7%	92.5479%	100%	88.9129%
3	Dale, Richard	MS						85.7%		85.7%					90.5%	71.4%	78.3%	85.7%	72.0%		100%	85.7%	95.2%		10	85.7%	89.7959%	100%	85.0261%
4	Steele, Barry	MS				81.0%				90.5%			66.7%			66.7%				90.5%	100%	90.5%	85.7%		8	66.7%	86.3946%	100%	83.9286%
5	Daugherty, John	MS		68.2%		47.6%	85.7%	66.7%		85.7%	71.4%	95.7%			85.7%			71.4%	60.0%		95.2%	100%	71.4%		12	71.4%	85.0932%	100%	75.7957%
6	Goodale, Jim	MS		72.7%			71.4%								85.7%	42.9%	60.9%			95.2%	100%	90.5%	76.2%		9	71.4%	84.5393%	100%	77.2780%
7	Donze, Carl	MS			56.0%	52.4%	76.2%	71.4%	71.4%	81.0%	71.4%	87.0%	61.9%	59.1%	85.7%	38.1%	47.8%	47.6%	48.0%	47.6%	100%	61.9%	90.5%	36.4%	20	71.4%	84.5312%	100%	64.5690%
8	Jensen, Tom	MS		90.9%											90.5%		69.6%		71.4%						6				82.3013%
9	Frey, John	MS		81.8%											71.4%					81.0%	90.5%	52.4%			5				75.4113%
10	Yeubanks, Dave	MS		100%				90.5%		81.0%						76.2%									4				86.9048%
11	Hartman, Jerald	MS		95.5%																					1				95.4545%
1	Thorp, Jim	MSS		100%	92.0%	95.2%				90.5%				100%	90.5%	76.2%	78.3%		80.0%	95.2%	100%	85.7%	100%		13	92.0%	97.4966%	100%	91.0457%
2	Meisenheimer, Carl	MSS		86.4%	68.0%	90.5%		100%	81.0%	95.2%	85.7%	100%	71.4%	72.7%	95.2%	81.0%	65.2%		88.0%	95.2%	100%	90.5%	90.5%		18	90.5%	96.5986%	100%	86.4722%
3	Meisenheimer, Richard	MSS						76.2%	47.6%		85.7%	95.7%	76.2%		81.0%	52.4%				100%	90.5%	71.4%			10	76.2%	86.4537%	100%	77.6605%
4	Reynolds, Jack	MSS		31.8%	76.0%		66.7%	85.7%	66.7%	61.9%	76.2%	87.0%	42.9%	59.1%	76.2%	66.7%	43.5%		72.0%	85.7%	100%	61.9%	81.0%	54.5%	19	76.2%	84.5312%	100%	70.1944%
5	Hamill, Chuck	MSS		45.5%	72.0%		81.0%								76.2%	61.9%	65.2%			85.7%	90.5%	66.7%	100%		10	66.7%	81.7143%	100%	74.4577%
6	Preston, Johnny	MSS		50.0%		42.9%				90.5%					71.4%	71.4%				95.2%	90.5%	76.2%	76.2%		9	71.4%	81.6327%	95.2381%	73.8095%
7	Duval, Sonny	MSS						85.7%	85.7%				71.4%		71.4%	57.1%					95.2%	95.2%			7	57.1%	80.2721%	95.2381%	80.2721%
8	Phillips, Paul	MSS		81.8%																					1				81.8182%
9	McCray, John	MSS																							0				