



Name:

Division:

* Flint

Holden

MDC Lake City

Richmond

Hermitage

Harrisburg

Millersburg

Chamois

FOML @ Elm

New Florence - S

New Florence - P

Mt Sterling

Richmond

Show-Me State Games

"Free" FOML@Elm

California

Richmond

Nevada

Lexington

Holden

FOML @ Elm

Huntsville

Steelville

Number

of

Shots

shot in

2015

Lowest

Top

(5 / 7)

Score

Top

(5 / 7)

Scores

Average

Top Score -

Tiebreaker

Overall Avg

% shot in

2015

	Division:	* Flint	Holden	MDC Lake City	Richmond	Hermitage	Harrisburg	Millersburg	Chamois	FOML @ Elm	New Florence - S	New Florence - P	Mt Sterling	Richmond	Show-Me State Games	"Free" FOML@Elm	California	Richmond	Nevada	Lexington	Holden	FOML @ Elm	Huntsville	Steelville	Number of Shots shot in 2015	Lowest Top (5 / 7) Score	Top (5 / 7) Scores Average	Top Score - Tiebreaker	Overall Avg % shot in 2015	
1	Bisges, Donna	F				71.4%	95.2%		76.2%	95.2%	76.2%	100%		84.0%		90.5%	66.7%	68.0%	95.2%	100%	81.0%	95.2%	72.7%	81.0%	16	90.5%	95.9184%	100%	84.2835%	
2	Donze, Coco	F	77.3%	86.4%		66.7%				100%				52.0%		100%				95.2%	81.0%	81.0%			9	77.3%	88.6827%	100%	82.1607%	
3	Preston, Atonka	F	*	77.3%	86.4%	61.9%									68.2%	81.0%	66.7%		100%	85.7%	61.9%	85.7%	54.5%		11	68.2%	83.4570%	100%	75.3837%	
4	Pennock, Susie	F	*		86.4%	90.5%	95.2%				66.7%									76.2%	61.9%			85.7%	7	61.9%	80.3649%	95.2381%	80.3649%	
5	Wessing, Angie	F		45.5%	72.7%	52.4%				47.6%				48.0%		90.5%					47.6%	52.4%			8	47.6%	58.7434%	90.4762%	57.0823%	
6	Maas, Katlyn	F				81.0%											66.7%		85.7%		71.4%	81.0%			5				77.1429%	
7	Anderson, Stephenie	F															76.2%	72.0%		95.2%					3				81.1429%	
1	Dale, Mary	FS	59.1%	77.3%	56.0%	47.6%	66.7%	76.2%		76.2%	61.9%	82.6%		68.0%	59.1%	61.9%	42.9%	68.0%	85.7%	85.7%	71.4%	90.5%		81.0%	19	76.2%	82.7041%	90.4762%	69.3517%	
2	Smith, Connie	FS					90.5%	76.2%	42.9%		66.7%	78.3%				90.5%	71.4%					81.0%	59.1%	85.7%	10	71.4%	81.9284%	90.4762%	74.2114%	
3	Preston, Joy	FS	77.3%	86.4%		61.9%				81.0%							76.2%		28.6%	85.7%	81.0%	85.7%			9	76.2%	81.8800%	86.3636%	73.7374%	
4	Turner, Marilyn	FS	72.7%	36.4%		47.6%	81.0%	76.2%	52.4%		61.9%	95.7%	42.9%		63.6%	76.2%	33.3%	68.0%		61.9%	66.7%	85.7%		61.9%	17	68.0%	79.3467%	95.6522%	63.7646%	
5	Yeubanks, Linda	FS	72.7%	63.6%		61.9%	57.1%	47.6%								66.7%	66.7%					47.6%			8	47.6%	62.3377%	72.7273%	60.4978%	
1	Pennock, Tatum	JrA		80.0%		80.0%			50.0%		60.0%													73.3%	6	60.0%	76.6667%	90.0000%	72.2222%	
2	Vore, Tevin	JrA						47.6%	41.7%		10.0%	60.0%											46.7%		5	10.0%	41.1905%	60.0000%	41.1905%	
3	Schroer, Hunter	JrA									60.0%	82.6%												80.0%	4					78.9855%
4	Johnson, Joshua	JrA													86.7%										1					86.6667%
1	Earleywine, Kohen	JrB		93.3%		100%	63.6%	70.0%						63.6%		70.0%	60.0%							100%	8	70.0%	86.6667%	100%	77.5758%	
2	Vore, Tyler	JrB						30.0%	66.7%		20.0%	40.0%											46.7%		5	20.0%	40.6667%	66.6667%	40.6667%	
3	Maas, Samuel	JrB				100%										50.0%	60.0%								4					70.0000%
4	Pennock, Tobi	JrB																						100%	1					100.0000%
5	Whiteside, Britton	JrB																						80.0%	1					80.0000%
6	Johnson, Kaden	JrB													60.0%										1					60.0000%



Name: _____

	Division:	Holden	MDC Lake City	Richmond	Hermitage	Harrisburg	Millersburg	Chamois	FOML @ Elm	New Florence - S	New Florence - P	Mt Sterling	Richmond	Show-Me State Games	"Free" FOML@Elm	California	Richmond	Nevada	Lexington	Holden	FOML @ Elm	Huntsville	Steelville	Number of Shoots shot in 2015	Lowest Top (5 / 7) Score	Top (5 / 7) Scores Average	Top Score - Tiebreaker	Overall Avg % shot in 2015	
	*-Flint																												
1	Wood, Park A	M	100%	100%	76.0%	81.0%	81.0%	85.7%		100%	95.2%	100%	81.0%	88.0%	72.7%	90.5%		84.0%	100%	100%	85.7%	95.2%	81.8%	100%	20	100.0%	100%	100%	89.8892%
2	Sumpter, Dwayne	M	* 100%	95.5%	88.0%								88.0%	95.5%	100%	85.7%			100%	100%	100%			9	88.0%	96.9870%	100%	94.7359%	
3	Boone, Bob	M	95.5%	90.9%	76.0%	81.0%				100%						71.4%		85.7%	100%	81.0%				10	81.0%	93.2900%	100%	88.1411%	
4	Whiteside, Les	M	* 95.5%							100%	90.5%	91.3%						100%	85.7%	85.7%	85.7%	59.1%	81.0%	10	85.7%	92.6662%	100%	87.4421%	
5	Jacks, Bill	M	95.5%	90.9%		81.0%				90.5%					90.5%	61.9%		100%	100%	76.2%				9	81.0%	92.6098%	100%	87.3737%	
6	Adkins, Stan	M	95.5%	90.9%	88.0%	76.2%				90.5%					100%	81.0%		100%	100%	81.0%				9	81.0%	92.2560%	100%	89.2150%	
7	Stong, Dusty	M	100%			71.4%				90.5%					100%			95.2%		90.5%	90.5%	77.3%		8	77.3%	91.9913%	100%	89.4210%	
8	Bisges, Neal	M				71.4%	90.5%		57.1%	95.2%			72.0%		76.2%	61.9%	72.0%	95.2%	95.2%	90.5%	90.5%	68.2%	85.7%	14	85.7%	91.8367%	95.2381%	80.1218%	
9	Howard, Bob	M	95.5%	95.5%		71.4%				90.5%					95.2%					100%	71.4%	90.5%		8	71.4%	91.2183%	100%	88.7446%	
10	Hines, Mike	M	81.8%		96.0%	85.7%	76.2%	85.7%		95.2%		71.4%	72.0%	95.5%	85.7%							50.0%		11	81.8%	89.3791%	96.0000%	81.3884%	
11	Schneider, Wayne	M				95.2%	100%	85.7%		76.2%	91.3%	81.0%				76.2%							90.5%	8	76.2%	88.5537%	100%	87.0083%	
12	Brummett, John	M	* 95.5%			81.0%	61.9%	95.2%							95.2%	76.2%	68.0%					100%		8	68.0%	87.2962%	100%	84.1223%	
13	Brazzell, Tim	M	* 77.3%	77.3%	68.0%	81.0%	76.2%	71.4%		66.7%	85.7%				81.0%	61.9%		90.5%		81.0%				7	77.3%	81.9419%	90.4762%	76.4595%	
14	Pennock, Rob	M		86.4%		81.0%				66.7%		71.4%							90.5%	81.0%				7	66.7%	79.6846%	90.4762%	79.6846%	
15	Longano, John	M			84.0%	76.2%	85.7%	81.0%		85.7%		61.9%	64.0%	50.0%	76.2%									9	64.0%	78.9660%	85.7143%	73.8519%	
16	Bieri, Tim	M			64.0%					47.6%				80.0%		52.4%	64.0%				71.4%	81.8%		7	47.6%	65.8924%	81.8182%	65.8924%	
17	Gerlt, Steve	M	* 95.5%			57.1%				57.1%				72.7%						90.5%				4					69.3723%
18	Anderson, Scott	M														57.1%	52.0%		81.0%					3					63.3651%
19	Cates, Richard	M		77.3%																				2					83.8745%
20	Bordner, Nick	M		95.5%																				1					95.4545%
21	Bledsoe, Terry	M																	95.2%					1					95.2381%
22	Brisco, Mike	M				90.5%																		1					90.4762%
1	Horton, Red	MS	95.5%	86.4%	88.0%	95.2%	90.5%	81.0%		85.7%	100%	90.5%	80.0%	90.9%	95.2%	61.9%	72.0%	100%	100%	90.5%	95.2%	95.2%	20	95.2%	97.9901%	100%	89.6840%		
2	Dale, Richard	MS	* 95.5%	95.5%	76.0%	76.2%	85.7%	95.2%		90.5%	76.2%	95.7%	60.0%		100.0%	81.0%	80.0%	95.2%	85.7%	81.0%	81.0%		76.2%	18	90.5%	95.3591%	100%	84.7984%	
3	Fitzpatrick, Rick	MS				90.5%	#####	76.2%		90.5%	95.7%	76.2%				81.0%			95.2%			77.3%	90.5%	10	81.0%	91.8959%	100%	87.2925%	
4	Yeubanks, Dave	MS	81.8%	95.5%			85.7%	90.5%	47.6%						95.2%	90.5%				81.0%				8	81.0%	88.5900%	95.4545%	83.4686%	
5	Goodale, Jim	MS	77.3%		88.0%		85.7%			90.5%						71.4%		95.2%	90.5%	71.4%	90.5%			9	77.3%	88.2362%	95.2381%	84.5012%	
6	Jensen, Tom	MS		86.4%	72.0%					95.2%			72.0%		90.5%		92.0%	100%			81.0%			8	72.0%	88.1472%	100%	86.1288%	
7	Donze, Carl	MS	72.7%	77.3%	60.0%	57.1%	76.2%	85.7%	61.9%	90.5%	66.7%	87.0%	76.2%	56.0%	71.4%	61.9%	44.0%	95.2%	95.2%	52.4%				18	76.2%	86.7266%	95.2381%	71.5240%	
8	Daugherty, John	MS	81.8%		68.0%		90.5%	71.4%		61.9%										71.4%	81.0%			7	61.9%	75.1441%	90.4762%	75.1441%	
9	Frey, John	MS	* 54.5%	54.5%	0.0%											52.4%			9.5%					5					34.1991%
10	Miller, Richard S	MS						90.5%								76.2%							71.4%	3					79.3651%
11	Graham, George	MS																						0					
1	Thorp, Jim	MSS	100%	90.9%	76.0%	71.4%				100%			96.0%	86.4%	95.2%	66.7%	92.0%	100%	100%	95.2%	100%			14	95.2%	98.7483%	100%	90.7032%	
2	Meisenheimer, Carl	MSS	86.4%	90.9%	76.0%	66.7%		85.7%	42.9%	95.2%	85.7%	100%		72.0%	90.9%	95.2%	90.5%	88.0%	100%	100%	81.0%	95.2%		18	90.9%	96.6605%	100%	85.6821%	
3	Duvall, Sonny	MSS					81.0%	90.5%	57.1%	90.5%	90.5%	100%				80.0%		100%		76.2%	95.2%			11	85.7%	93.1973%	100%	86.0606%	
4	Steele, Barry	MSS				85.7%				90.5%				90.9%		81.0%		81.0%	100%	76.2%	85.7%			8	81.0%	87.8169%	100%	86.3636%	
5	Meisenheimer, Richard	MSS					71.4%	76.2%	47.6%	71.4%	85.7%	95.7%			81.0%	57.1%			90.5%	76.2%	90.5%			11	76.2%	85.0932%	95.6522%	76.6610%	
6	Reynolds, Jack	MSS	59.1%	72.7%	60.0%		66.7%	66.7%	47.6%	95.2%	66.7%	91.3%			85.7%		52.0%	81.0%	76.2%		85.7%			14	72.7%	83.9773%	95.2381%	71.8965%	
7	Preston, Johnny	MSS	72.7%	90.9%		71.4%				85.7%						52.4%		81.0%	90.5%	42.9%	81.0%			9	71.4%	81.8800%	90.9091%	74.2665%	
8	Hamill, Chuck	MSS	63.6%	63.6%	68.0%		71.4%			85.7%			64.0%			57.1%		90.5%	90.5%	71.4%	76.2%			11	68.0%	79.1020%	90.4762%	72.9209%	
9	Phillips, Paul	MSS	* 68.2%	77.3%											61.9%				95.2%	71.4%	90.5%			6					77.4170%
10	Smith, John	MSS														47.6%								1					47.6190%
11	McCray, John	MSS																						0					

MOHA 2015 Trade Gun



Name:

	Holden	MDC Lake City	Hermitage	FOML @ Elm	New Florence	"Free" FOML@Elm	California	Nevada	Lexington	Holden	FOML @ Elm	Huntsville	Steelville	Number of Shoots shot in 2015	Lowest Top 5 Score	Top 5 Scores Average	Top Score - Tiebreaker	Overall Avg % shot in 2015	
1	Brazzell, Tim	76.2%	81.0%	66.7%			90.5%	81.8%	85.0%		57.1%			60.0%	8	76.2%	82.8874%	90.4762%	74.7808%
2	Steele, Barry			86.7%	71.4%			72.7%	60.0%	100%	57.1%	76.2%			7	71.4%	81.4026%	100%	74.8794%
3	Dale, Richard		85.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%		63.6%	80.0%	81.0%	71.4%	76.2%		80.0%	10	76.2%	80.5714%	85.7143%	73.7922%
4	Meisenheimer, Carl	71.4%	76.2%	66.7%	76.2%	66.7%	76.2%	63.6%	75.0%	85.7%	81.0%	71.4%			11	76.2%	79.0476%	85.7143%	73.6423%
5	Wood, Park A.	71.4%	76.2%	80.0%	52.4%		76.2%		50.0%	66.7%	57.1%	71.4%	26.3%	40.0%	11	71.4%	75.0476%	80.0000%	60.7040%
6	Preston, Atonka	66.7%	76.2%	73.3%			76.2%	54.5%	70.0%	66.7%	61.9%	66.7%	73.7%	73.3%	11	73.3%	74.5464%	76.1905%	69.0165%
7	Dale, Mary	52.4%		20.0%	71.4%				65.0%	90.5%	52.4%	76.2%		46.7%	8	52.4%	71.0952%	90.4762%	59.3155%
8	Whiteside, Les	38.1%			23.8%				50.0%		42.9%	57.1%	42.1%	80.0%	7	42.1%	54.4211%	80.0000%	47.7157%
9	Sumpter, Dwayne	76.2%	66.7%							81.0%					3			80.9524%	74.6032%

Shooters: 7 6 7 6 2 4 5 8 7 8 7 3
 Average Score: 64.6% 77.0% 65.7% 60.3% 66.7% 79.8% 67.3% 66.9% 81.6% 60.1% 70.7% 47.4%